

SEPTEMBER 2026

POLICY BRIEF



COMPETING CROSS-BORDER PAYMENT NETWORKS

Another arena for geopolitical
competition



HUNG TRAN

Various competing cross-border payment networks have become vehicles for geopolitical competition. As tensions have risen, many countries want to secure access to cross-border payment systems by joining with like-minded countries to form interoperable networks, either via tokenization or Instant Payment Systems (IPSs). Three major projects are under development, serving the strategic interests of the US, China and the Rest of the World—corresponding to the three trading spheres taking shape in the world trade architecture.

HUNG TRAN

Cross-border payment networks have been transformed from financial infrastructures into [vehicles for geopolitical competition](#). This process has been driven by major powers like China, Russia and others striving to enhance their economic resilience and national security by finding ways to reduce reliance on the present current US dollar-based international financial and payment system. Recently, those efforts have gathered momentum as the US has increasingly used access to the dollar-based payment system (mainly via the [SWIFT financial messaging network](#)) as a statecraft tools to pursue its national strategic objectives.

Concretely, groups of neighboring or like-minded countries have collaborated on projects to test interoperable networks enabling cross-border payments among themselves, preferably using their own currencies instead of relying on the dollar. As parts of this trend, China has launched its [Cross-border Interbank Payment System](#) (CIPS) and Russia its [System for the Transfer of Financial Messages](#) (SPFS)—as alternatives to SWIFT.,

In response, to defend the preeminent role of the dollar, the [US has taken measures](#) to enhance its usefulness in international payment transactions and has threatened retaliation against countries active in de-dollarization attempts, or trying to evade US sanctions. President Donald [Trump has threatened](#) to impose punitive tariffs on any countries attempting to undermine the dollar by promoting alternative currencies.

In addition to benefitting from the fact that the US has the largest economy and financial market in the world, specific dollar-support measures have been taken recently. These include proposed [US currency](#) swap lines with Gulf Cooperation Council Countries; passage of the [Genius Act](#) to promote the use of stablecoins mostly backed by the dollar; and Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent [threatening secondary sanctions](#) on countries trading with Iran in contravention of US sanctions on that country.

Notwithstanding the US efforts to deter de-dollarization, fragmentation of international payment systems is likely to proceed. In particular, payment fragmentation has been driven by countries' search for national security and sovereignty over data and advanced technology such as artificial intelligence (AI). [Regulatory divergence](#) among major jurisdictions also contributes to the observed fragmentation, which has been enabled by advances in payment technology—such as the [tokenization](#) of money and iIPSsInstant payment system (IPS).

In short, different and competing cross-border payment networks constitute another dimension of geopolitically driven [fragmentation of the global economy](#)—which has noticeably deepened since the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022. Since then, trade between geopolitically distant blocs has fallen by about 12% compared to with trade within such blocs, and FDI has declined by 20% in similar comparisons.

At present, there are three important plurilateral projects, bringing together different groups of countries, pursuing different goals and following various technological approaches to cross-border payments. One (driven by China) makes use of wholesale central bank digital currencies (CBDCs); and the second (driven by the US and other G7 countries) focuses on private tokenized money to modernize the current payment architecture by employing distributed ledger technology. The third (driven by Southeast Asian countries) aims to connect countries' IPSsInstant Payment Systems into interoperable networks for fast cross-border payments, largely using local currencies.

Eventually, as these projects progress and become operational, they would reinforce and institutionalize the geoeconomic fragmentation already evident in international trade and investment flows. World trade will likely continue to grow, but more slowly than it otherwise would, helping to reduce the potential growth of the global economy, with a long-term [loss of up to 7%](#) as estimated by the IMF.

This dismal prospect could be ameliorated somewhat if these payment projects are built on common standards—such as REST API (for Application Programming Interfaces) and [ISO 20022](#) for financial messaging—so that they can be interoperable and, thus avoid do not fragmenting the international system. The problem is that while this is technologically feasible, [geopolitical contention](#) would likely stand in the way.

The mBridge Project

The People's Bank of China has driven the mBridge project, together with the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, the Bank of Thailand, and the central banks of the UAE and Saudi Arabia, to develop interoperability between settlement mechanisms for their wholesale Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). In 2024, the project reached the Minimum Viable Product (MVP) stage. In December 2025, the [PBOC announced](#) that the project had processed 4,000 cross-border transactions worth \$55.5 billion that year, using the RMB as the routing currency for 95% of the settlement volume. This represented a big jump from 164 transactions totaling \$22 million in 2022.

Since [Project mBridge provides](#) a shared blockchain ledger to clear CBDC transactions throughin atomic settlement, it does not involve SWIFT nor intermediaries such as correspondent banks.

Given its narrow membership, Project mBridge has been viewed as a payment corridor for energy trade between China and the Middle East—being the [backbone](#) of global energy trade. The project could play an important role in shielding this critical energy trade relationship from possible US sanctions. As such, it is essentially is a specific defensive move, rather than one designed to compete against the dollar's preeminent role in global finance. However, the nature of the project could change if more countries participate in it.

It is important to note that the BIS, which had been an original sponsor of the project, decided to [withdraw from it in 2024](#), offering the an official explanation that the project had progressed to the point that it no longer required the BIS involvement. However, the BIS decision occurred following intense scrutiny over about whether Project mBridge could facilitate the evasion of US financial sanctions. This has given rise to speculation that the BIS wanted to avoid being [caught between US sanctions](#) and countries opposed to them.

In any event, by providing participating countries with [an alternative](#) to the Western-dominated payment system, mBridge could weaken the central role of the dollar and the effectiveness of US sanctions, mainly for countries in the region. Moreover, mBridge provides international payment rails supporting China's efforts to internationalize the Renminbi (RMB).

China's Internationalization of the Renminbi

As an emerging geopolitical contender to the US, China has tried to [internationalize the use of the RMB](#)—especially in earnest after the West froze the Bank of Russia's reserve assets held overseas and expelled Russian banks from the SWIFT network, following Russia's invasion of Ukraine in 2022. China has adopted a transaction-based approach to promote the internationalization of the RMB so that it can settle trade, investment, and financial cross-border activities in RMBs, reducing reliance on the dollar.

Basically, China has launched its [Cross-border Interbank Payment System](#) (CIPS) in 2015 to facilitate the overseas use of the RMB between Chinese and international counterparts.

[The CIPS has attracted](#) more than 1,700 international members (both direct and indirect) across 190 countries and territories, with daily transaction volume exceeding \$180 billion—still modest in scale compared with SWIFT. Moreover, the People’s Bank of China has established [bilateral currency swap lines](#) with 40 foreign central banks, deploying an estimated \$500 billion in total commitments, to provide liquidity support for exchange transactions between the RMB and the local currencies of participating countries.

As a result of these developments, China has been able to settle [up to 60%](#) of its cross-border receipts and payments in RMB, basically on a bilateral basis—compared with 10% in 2017. Thus, China has progressed toward its strategic [goal of reducing dependency](#) on the dollar-based international payment system in its international financial transactions, and rather than not necessarily aiming to with the aim of competing with against the dollar’s global role of dollar. This observation seems to be borne out by the fact that global use of the RMB, especially between non-China third parties, remains much more limited. Its share of global payments has been around [3% according to SWIFT](#) (which under-estimates its true share since that metric does not capture non-SWIFT international transactions in RMB), while it accounts for 8% of global trade finance.

Project Agora

[Project Agora](#) has been led by the BIS in collaboration with the Institute of International Finance (IIF). It brings together the central banks of France (Eurosysteem), England, Switzerland, Japan, Korea, the US (New York Fed) and Mexico, working with a group of 40 international banks organized by the IIF. The goal is to integrate tokenized commercial bank deposits with central bank reserves on a single, shared programmable platform, providing atomic settlement (both sides of a multi-currency transaction clear simultaneously, eliminating settlement delays and counterparty credit risk). Basically, the project will address the slow speeds, high costs, and fragmented ledgers of the traditional cross-border payment system. This modernizes the incumbent payment architecture based on correspondent banking, and [preserves the current](#) preeminent role of the dollar in the international financial system—by upgrading it,; not replacing it.

Project Agora reached a [real-value testing milestone](#) in July 2026 when 28 financial institutions and central banks successfully completed real-value cross-border transactions worth CHF 800,000 (roughly \$1 million), with settlement performed in 80 seconds on average. In addition, strict data privacy and compliance requirements were maintained.

As such, Pprojects mBridge and Agora can be viewed as competitors, developing opposing and non-interoperable international payment networks based on the RMB and CBDCs on the one hand, and mainly on the dollar(mainly) and tokenized bank deposits and reserves, as well as stablecoins, on the other. These projects serve to promote the geopolitical interests of China and the US, respectively.

This leaves other countries which that wish to remain be nonaligned between the US and China looking for alternatives to develop multilateral payment networks. Project Nexus appears to fill this need—especially for [retail payment transactions](#).

Project Nexus

[Project Nexus](#) has been coordinated by the BIS with the participation of the central banks of Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, India, and the Philippines, aiming to provide a single, multilateral hub to connect national Instant Payment Systems (IPs) around the world—

using standardized API specifications and ISO 20022 messaging formats. In a significant development, in the past 18 months [IPS transactions in BRICS'](#) eleven member countries have reached \$10 trillion, surpassing the volume of Mastercards or Visa in their major markets—even though cross-border payment remains low. At present, 137 countries operate IPSsP on a 24/7 basis, providing cheap, fast and accessible payment services compared to with traditional mechanisms—and providing a large pool of countries technologically prepared to join Nexus.

Nexus' standardization architecture allows other countries to join by [linking up their IPS to the hub](#), becoming interoperable with all other participating members. The instant-payment nature of the network also facilitates enables accredited FX providers to be able to provide fast and direct foreign exchanges between any pairs of national currencies competitively, without necessarily having to use the dollar as a vehicle currency—by minimizing (or eliminating) settlement and counterparty credit risks that would require hedging or pre-funding.

Fundamentally, Project Nexus aims to diversify international payment infrastructures, lessening dependence on the current dollar-centered correspondent banking system.

By linking its IPS to Nexus, a country can have access to a multilateral payment network and become , being interoperable with all members without the need to build up a series of bilateral connections. Importantly, [Nexus explicitly removes SWIFT](#) and the correspondent banking system from the payment pathway.

In March 2025, the five founding central banks incorporated [Nexus Global Payment](#) (NGP) as the scheme's not-for-profit governing body, registered in Singapore. [Indonesia](#) officially joined the NGP on February 2, 2026. Importantly, the NGP would facilitate [the wholesale settlement](#) of multi-currency transactions. The [European Central Bank](#) (Eurosystem/TIPS) is in discussion with the a view to participating in NGP. If this materializes, NGP will connect the two major payment hubs in Asia and Europe. NGP has also signed an MOU with [FLAR](#) (the Latin American Reserve Fund) to explore future connectivity.

Basically, [the progress of Nexus](#) has made it been one of the most concrete mechanisms for in implementing the [G20 Roadmap](#) on Enhancing Global Cross-Border Payments, addressing problems of high costs, slow settlement times, lack of transparency and lack of access.

The basic problem the G20 Roadmap aims to tackle is that, despite progress by many countries in developing fast domestic payment systems, international payments still depend on correspondent banking networks, multiple intermediaries, different messaging standards, separate FX arrangements, different operating hours, and fragmented regulatory and compliance procedures.

Project Nexus creates a standardized multilateral bridge between domestic IPSs based on common standards for APIs and messaging, such as ISO 20022, substantially accelerating the expansion of instant cross-border payments. As such, Nexus addresses dealing with one of the three major priorities of the G20 Roadmap: payment system interoperability and extension—the other two being the harmonization of legal, regulatory and supervisory frameworks, and cross-border data exchange and privacy.

As a result, countries still need to address AML/CFT requirements, sanctions screening, FX liquidity, settlement arrangements, consumer protection, fraud, data privacy and regulatory differences. Countries need to speed up their efforts in these areas to meet the G20 Roadmap's [target date of end-2027](#) to have 75% of cross-border retail payments

available to recipients within one hour; and to seek a global average retail payment cost below 1% of the transaction amount of transaction.

In principle, any regional cross-border payment networks or initiatives that meeting the API and messaging standards can link up with Nexus. For example, Brazil, Mexico and Colombia have pursued the PIX-linternacionales to link Latin American IPSs based on Brazil's Brazil'sPIX. India and Brazil have as been working with BRICS member states to link their IPSs and CBDCs. These and other similar initiatives can be linked to Nexus to make it increasingly global.

Project Aperta

To complement Nexus, the BIS also coordinates [Project Aperta](#) with the central banks of the UK, Hong Kong, Brazil, India and the UAE, as well as and other data-sharing organizations, to enable provide secured cross-border financial data sharing of financial data (open finance). This would facilitate cross-border business account opening, expedite KYC/AML checking and facilitate trade-finance data flows.

The project addresses the current difficulties in moving financial data across borders because due to different domestic frameworks using different technological standards, data formats and trust frameworks. This is increasingly very important as international payment transactions have been increasingly been automated on-chain.automated on-chains.

Implications of mBridge, Agora and Nexus Pprojects

As these three major cross-border payment projects progress and become operational, they would change the current payment system—splintering it while also modernizing it at the same time.

Project mMBridge provides the infrastructure for countries to settle international transactions using [wholesale CBDCs](#)—which have proved more popular and less controversial with central banks than retail CBDCs. Project Agora modernizes Agora modernizesthe incumbent payment system by tokenizing bank deposits and central bank reserves, facilitating transactions on a shared blockchain which that offers atomic settlements (payments and foreign exchanges settle simultaneously “payment versus payment”—eliminating sequential processing and settlement/credit risk). The role of SWIFT pivots towards cross-chain messaging and meeting identity and /compliance standards. Finally, [Nexus bypasses](#) correspondent banks completely by linking countries' IPSs to a central hub for fast and atomic settlement.

While being modernized, the international payment system is being splintered into three spheres: China-centric, US-centric and Rest of the Wworld (ROW). These correspond with, and serve, the three regimes taking shape in the new world trade architecture.

In other words, both the cross-border payment system and world trade have been divided into three distinct regimes or spheres—institutionalizing the geoeconomic fragmentation and, making it a structural feature of the global economy.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



HUNG TRAN

Hung Tran is a Senior Fellow at the Policy Center for the New South and a Nonresident Senior Fellow at the Atlantic Council's Geoeconomics Center. His research interests include geopolitics and geoeconomics, in particular the US-China strategic rivalry and how the Global South can navigate that conflict. From 2007 to 2019, he was with the Institute of International Finance, rising to be its Executive Managing Director. In 2011-12, he helped coordinate the international private Greek government bond holders in negotiating with Greece, the Eurogroup, the European Central Bank and the International Monetary Fund for a €206 billion Greek sovereign debt restructuring, the largest debt restructuring in history.

ABOUT THE POLICY CENTER FOR THE NEW SOUTH

The Policy Center for the New South (PCNS) is a Moroccan think tank aiming to contribute to the improvement of economic and social public policies that challenge Morocco and the rest of Africa as integral parts of the global South...[Read More](#)

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author.

Policy Center for the New South

Rabat Campus of Mohammed VI Polytechnic University,
Rocade Rabat Salé - 11103
Email : contact@policycenter.ma
Phone : +212 (0) 537 54 04 04
Fax : +212 (0) 537 71 31 54

www.policycenter.ma

