

Policy Brief September 2018, PB-18/31

Is Land Degradation Neutrality in Africa Possible?

By Tharcisse Guedegbe, Tudal Sinsin & Mohammed Rachid Doukkali

Summary

At the heart of this century's top priorities are food security, environmental protection and improving the standard of living. With soaring population growth, strong industrialization exerting pressure on natural resources, and accelerating climate change and desertification, a number of actions are being taken to achieve neutrality in terms of land degradation. Conserving and restoring ecosystems, while making rational use of natural resources to meet the population's needs are essential. In order to achieve this goal of neutrality by 2050, a series of projects and initiatives at the national and international levels are being carried out in succession and must complement each other.

The Rio+20 conference in June 2012 provided an opportunity for environmental stakeholders to embrace the concept of a world that is neutral in terms of impact on the environment. Enacting Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in September 2015 was an opportunity to renew this commitment to enact ecosystem protection. The SDG Target 15.3 to which African policy makers and their peers from other continents have committed, reflects the importance of the subject. To reiterate, it stipulates that by 2030, States undertake to combat desertification, restore degraded lands and soils, including lands affected by desertification, drought and floods, and work towards a world without land degradation.

The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) defines Land Degradation Neutrality (LND) as a "state in which the quantity and quality of land resources required to support ecosystem functions and services and improve food security remain stable or increase within defined temporal and spatial scales and given ecosystems"¹. This Policy Brief aims to highlight the importance of the subject in Africa as well as key factors to successfully attain the land neutrality vision in Africa.

Land degradation in Africa

As stated in SDG target 15.3, the core problem behind the need for neutrality is land degradation, a phenomenon affecting nearly 46% of Africa's land area (Botoni&Subsol, 2013). Land degradation is defined as the loss of land quality and utility for humans and the survival of ecosystems, as a result of often interrelated physicochemical processes such as erosion, salinization,

^{1.} Decision 3/COP.12, UNCCD, 2015

soil clogging, and nutrient loss, deterioration of soil structure or pollution. These processes are natural in nature, but are accelerated by human activities, especially in the absence of regulation.

In addition, poor agricultural and forestry practices (poor management of irrigation, fertilization, mechanization or phytosanitary treatments, overgrazing, deforestation and other excessive harvesting of forest products, bush and forest fires, etc.) are major causes of land degradation. In agriculture, the absence or limited use of fertilizers causes nutrient depletion in soils. This poor practice is even considered as the main cause of degradation of the production environment (Chianu, et al., 2008). Thus, 75% to 80% of the continent's cultivated area is reportedly degraded, with a loss of 30 to 60 kg of nutrients per hectare per year (Roy, 2006). In the year 1990, Dregne already warned that African soils had lost 20% of their fertility relative to 1950's levels.

In arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas, land degradation accelerates the aridification of the

environment and the creation of desert conditions or desertification. According to IFPRI data, the first two zones classifications represent more than 40% of cultivated areas in sub-Saharan Africa, respectively 5% for arid zones and 37% for semi-arid zones (see Figure 1). In eight sub-Saharan countries (Gambia, Senegal, Burkina Faso, Chad, Niger, Mali, Djibouti, Somalia and Senegal), arid and semi-arid areas are estimated to represent more than three-quarters of cultivated surface. These also account for the total cultivated area in five countries (Djibouti, Gambia, Mauritania, Niger and Senegal - see Table 3).

Losses due to desertification were estimated at \$476 million for irrigated land, \$1,857 million for rain-fed land and \$6,966 million for rangelands, for a total of \$9,299 million for about 1,046 million hectares of degraded land. Rehabilitation of this land would require no less than \$37,387 billion (Dregne, 1990). More recently, the latest report of the Economic Commission for Africa on drought and desertification in Africa indicates that at least 485 million people or 65% of Africa's population are impacted by the phenomenon (ELD Initiative & UNEP, 2015).

Land Utilization in Sub-Saharan Africa by Agro-Ecological Zone




Figure 1: Cultivated land (2000)



Figure 2: Livestock density (2005)

Land degradation in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas is mainly due to erosion and salinization, both of which are exacerbated by poor agricultural practices (in particular poor management of irrigation and fertilization). In Senegal, for example, land degradation affects 64% of arable land with 74% of this degradation being caused by erosion and the rest by salinization (Panagos, Borrelli, &Ballabio, 2017). In Sudan, due to arid climate and poor irrigation, more than 500,000 ha of soil are affected by salinization (see Table 1). The situation in North Africa appears to be deteriorating, with more than 150,000 ha of salinized land in Morocco, Libya and Egypt (FAO, 2016).

Poor agricultural practices are also at the root of soil acidification, which generally results from excessive fertilizer use or deforestation. Acidification increases with the duration of land use, especially in ferruginous and ferralitic soils, which are the most prevalently cultivated in sub-Saharan Africa (Feller & al, 1991). In Burkina Faso for instance, it is proven that ferruginous soils become acidified within 6 to 7 years of exploitation. As for ferralitic soils, acidity can be observed after only 4 years of exploitation (Dakouo, 1991). Another study in Gabon also showed that Libreville soils grown for more than 10 years are acidified with a significant decrease in fertility (Ondo, 2011).

Table 1: Salinized Soil Area in Selected AfricanCountries

Country	Year	Surface (ha)	
Egypt	2005	250 000	
Kenya	1999	30 000	
Libya	1998	190 000	
Morocco	2000	150 000	
Mozambique	1993	2 000	
Namibia	1992	1 300	
Niger	2000	350	
Nigeria	1999	100 000	
Soudan	1999	500 000	
Tanzania	1999	50 000	
Tunisia	2000	86 000	

Source: (FAO, 2016)

Desertification is also closely linked to global warming. On the one hand, the loss of vegetative canopy reduces carbon sequestration capacity and increases the albedo of the Earth's surface. As such, forest areas in Africa declined from 705 million hectares to 624 million hectares, according to data published by FAO. On the other hand, global warming contributes to soil aridification, loss of vegetation cover, forest fires and erosion in all its forms (Sinsin, 2018). Overall, it is estimated that land degradation and desertification contribute up to 30% of global greenhouse gas emissions by reducing vegetative CO2 uptake².

In wetter areas (sub-humid, humid and tropical highlands), estimated to represent about 58% of cultivated land in Africa, land degradation is different. Sub-humid to wet bioclimate areas receive significant precipitation that causes erosion and flooding, especially when soilsare bare (low vegetation cover). Water erosion is particularly prevalent in Central and West Africa where annual erosion rates are very high (Panagos, Borrelli, &Ballabio, 2017).

In addition, these areas are subject to greater anthropogenic pressure - reflected in population density (see Table 2) - due in part to the abundant vegetation cover and fertile soils that attract farmers, pastoralists and silviculturists. In the absence of regulation, the latter tend to overexploit the land, thus accentuating its degradation. Urban expansion causes loss of arable land and reduces its availability. Depending on a country's arable land potential, these losses may in turn exacerbate overexploitation of remaining available land.

Table 2: Population Density in Sub-SaharanAfrica in 2005

	Rural	Urban	Total
Arid	3,63	1 658,45	6,05
Semi-arid	22,93	1 654,32	32,05
Sub-humid	25,81	2 339,68	42,69
Humid	23,18	2 517,69	34,40
Tropical highlands	52,28	1 499,01	68,53

Source: HarvestChoice/IFPRI

2. As reported by (Futura-Sciences, 2018)

Land degradation thus raises socio-economic and security issues. It should be recalled that in Africa, nearly 70% of the population and 80% of the rural and/or populations depend on agriculture and rural non-farm businesses for their livelihoods (AfDB, 2016). By reducing the productive capacity of soils, land degradation contributes to increased poverty and food insecurity. In countries in the Sahel, land degradation is estimated to lead to a decline in agricultural production of nearly 3% per year, seriously compromising food security in light of high population growth (UNCCD, 2016). Without an appropriate response, this situation can lead to famine, social instability and even conflict in the long term. Land degradation is also at the root of migration, which is a form of adaptation to the phenomenon of climate change and natural disasters (AfDB, 2014). Nevertheless, the displacement of populations to non- or slightly threatened areas leads to an increase in pressure in these areas (Benguerai, 2011).

Although some have called for these effects to be put into perspective, given the continent's potential (Braban, 1992)³, the causes of the phenomenon remain in place. In addition, considering the socio-economic challenges facing Africa, particularly in meeting the needs of a rapidly growing population, human pressure on natural resources will only grow more intense. The need for an appropriate policy response is therefore undeniable and urgent for the development of African lands in economically and ecologically viable models.

Towards an Appropriate African response

Two broad types of measures contribute to a sustainable response to land degradation and neutrality: measures to avoid or reduce degradation and measures to reverse the trend on already degraded land. Combining these two types of measures to offset losses with gains would result in a situation where healthy and productive land no longer suffers any net loss (Orr, et al., 2017). There is no shortage of projects in Africa to implement these measures, both nationally and transnationally.

Overall, these projects focus on sustainable land management and, therefore, on improving the condition and resilience of ecosystems, using different approaches to avoid, reduce or reverse degradation. They also aim to stimulate economic development in areas of intervention, by promoting income-generating and employmentgenerating activities in sustainable production and the development of goods and services from agriculture and forestry. This dual vision is supported by the need to improve people's living standards and resilience, while protecting investments made (planted trees, restored land, etc.) from further degradation. Success stories have shown that involving people in the implementation of such projects is a necessary condition for success and sustainability of results.

Other, no less important conditions also emerge from success stories. In a non-exhaustive way, one can speak of the development of capacities to collect and process information on climate, soil conditions and local socio-economics; institutional cooperation between countries and within countries in support of technical transfers, capitalization on local know-how, adoption of ICT innovations and of course mobilization of financial resources and strengthening human capital.

One project that successfully integrates this pool of objectives and necessary conditions is the Great Green Wall of the Sahara and Sahel initiative launched in 2007 by the African Union. The idea is to form a 15 km wide strip of greenery crossing the continent from Dakar to Djibouti, to slow down desertification and provide a favorable environment for the development of incomegenerating activities. In practice, this means a myriad of agricultural and forestry projects within and between countries involved, that have made significant progress but still face significant challenges.

^{3.} Braban (1992) recalled in the 1990s that of the 22 million km² of exploitable land in Africa, 18.8 million were not or only slightly degraded and 10 million were virgin or fallow land, almost all of which was arable.



Figure 3: Layout of the Great Green Wall

Source: National Geographic

Mobilizing Innovative Resources

The major difficulty encountered in Africa by the various projects for environmental protection and combating the horrors of climate change and desertification is access to resources, whether human (know-how) or financial. The most recent of the major initiatives attempting to address this situation is the so-called Triple A (Adaptation of African Agriculture) initiative, launched at COP22. It aims to reduce the vulnerability of African agriculture to climate change through capacity building for better water and soil management and for an optimal use of new techniques and technologies. The Triple A initiative also aims to promote access to financing for agricultural development projects in Africa and monitor the allocation of these funds. Thus, mobilizing resources and building effective partnerships are an integral part of the strategic objectives of this initiative. The final part of specific objectives of the Triple A initiative is to facilitate eligibility of agricultural projects under the various criteria imposed for access to climate funds.

Indeed, the processes of accreditation, approval and financing of projects are cumbersome and difficult for African institutions that lack effective support and

information to be eligible (Huhtala, Bird, &Herweijer, 2013). The Green Climate Fund, which complements existing sources of climate finance (the Global Environment Facility, the Climate Investment Facility and the Adaptation Fund), is no exception, although a program to support country preparedness was integrated into the Green Climate Fund at its inception.

Despite the fact that there are a number of other associations supporting African organizations in strengthening their access to climate finance, they still face challenges related to accreditation criteria, lack of knowledge of funding sources, governance and management in host African countries. An African Development Bank Group report (Ward J., 2012) suggested measures that could be taken by the Green Climate Fund Board and actions that African governments can take, as well as ways in which the AfDB can facilitate financing opportunities for "green" projects⁴.

^{4.} A "green" project is any project that contributes to measuring, preventing, controlling and correcting negative impacts on the environment (water, air, soil, waste, ecosystem)

Table 3: Distribution of Cultivated Area by Agro-Ecological Zone (2000)

Countries	Arid	Semi-Arid	Sub-Humid	Humid	Tropical Highlands
Angola	0%	18%	47%	2%	34%
Burundi	0%	0%	10%	2%	88%
Benin	0%	12%	88%	0%	0%
Burkina Faso	2%	87%	11%	0%	0%
Botswana	63%	23%	0%	0%	14%
Central African Republic	0%	2%	85%	11%	1%
Cote d'Ivoire	0%	0%	80%	20%	0%
Cameroun	0%	13%	57%	14%	16%
The Democratic Republic of the Congo	0%	0%	68%	16%	16%
Republic of Congo	0%	0%	21%	79%	0%
Djibouti	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Eritrea	28%	32%	0%	0%	40%
Ethiopia	1%	17%	5%	0%	77%
Gabon	0%	0%	17%	83%	0%
Ghana	0%	1%	73%	26%	0%
Guinea	0%	1%	87%	12%	0%
Gambia	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Guinea-Bissau	0%	45%	55%	0%	0%
Equatorial Guinea	0%	0%	0%	95%	5%
Kenya	10%	39%	12%	1%	37%
Liberia	0%	0%	4%	96%	0%
Lesotho	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Madagascar	0%	9%	37%	50%	5%
Mali	16%	82%	2%	0%	0%
Mozambique	2%	39%	55%	0%	4%
Mauritania	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
Malawi	0%	53%	20%	0%	27%
Namibia	16%	36%	0%	0%	49%
Niger	17%	83%	0%	0%	0%
Nigeria	1%	42%	53%	3%	1%
Rwanda	0%	0%	0%	1%	99%
Sudan	6%	67%	26%	0%	1%
Senegal	6%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Sierra Leone	0%	0%	99%	1%	0%
Somalia	82%	17%	0%	0%	1%
Swaziland	0%	43%	40%	0%	17%
Chad	10%	82%	8%	0%	0%
Тодо	0%	0%	98%	2%	0%
Tanzania	0%	11%	48%	2%	39%
Uganda	0%	0%	12%	53%	35%
South Africa	24%	33%	6%	0%	37%
Zambia	0%	42%	9%	0%	48%
Zimbabwe	17%	58%	2%	0%	23%
Sub-saharan Africa	5%	37%	35%	8%	15%

Source: HarvestChoice/IFPRI

Bibliographical references

AFDB. (2016, 06 23). Feeding Africa" - AfDB adopts its strategy to revolutionize African agriculture. Retrieved from the African Development Bank Group: https://www. afdb.org/fr/news-and-events/feed-africa-afdb-developsstrategy-for-africas-agricultural-transformation-15875/

BAfD. (2014). Africa Development Report 2014 : Regional integration for inclusive growth. 130 p.

Benguerai, A. (2011). Evolution du phénomène de désertification dans le Sud Oranais (Algérie) - Doctoral thesis in science. 138 p. .

Berry, L., & Olson, J. (2003). Land degradation in Rwanda : its extent and impact. Florida Center for Environmental Studies, paper commissioned by Global mechanism with support from the World Bank, 18 p.

Bojö, J. (1991). Economics and Land Degradation. Environmental Economics, 75-79.

Botoni, E., & Subsol, S. (2013). Scaling up sustainable land management in the Sahel: solutions for sustainable food security. Communication, CST/UNCCD, 09-12 April 2013. Bonn, Germany.

Braban, P. (1992). La dégradation des terres en Afrique - Afrique contemporaine N° 161 (spécial) quarter 1992 ; pp 90 - 108. (161), 90 - 108.

Chianu, J., Adesina, A., Sanginga, P., Bationo, A., Chianu, J., & Sanginga, N. (2008). Structural change in fertilizer procurement method: assessment of impact in sub-Saharan Africa. African Journal of Business Management, 65-71.

Dakouo, D. (1991). In Koulibaly B., (2011) caracterisation de l'acidification des sols et gestion de la fertilite des agrosystemes cotonniers au burkina. Doctoral Thesis : Université de Ouagadougou 183 p.

Dregne, H. (1990). Erosion & soil productivity in Africa. J.Soil & Water Conservation 45(4):432–436.

ELD Initiative & UNEP. (2015). The Economics of Land Degradation in Africa: Benefits of Action Outweigh the Costs.

El-Farouk, A. (1996). Economic and Social Impact of Environmental Degradation in Sudanese Forestry and Agriculture. British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, 167-182. FAO. (2016). Irrigation in Africa in figures, Aquastat 2005 survey.

Feller, e., & al. (1991). Effect of texture on the storage and dynamics of organic matter in some ferruginous and ferrallitic soils (West Africa, in particular) Cah. ORSTOM, ser. Pedol., vol. XXVI, n°1, 1991: 25-36.

Futura-Sciences. (2018, 03 27). Dégradation des sols : les scientifiques tirent la sonnette d'alarme. From Futura Planet: https://www.futura-sciences.com/planete/ actualites/developpement-durable-degradation-solsscientifiques-tirent-sonnette-alarme-12792/

HarvestChoice. (2015). AEZ tropical (5-class, 2009). Washington, DC., and University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN: International Food Policy Research Institute.

Henao, J., & Baanante, C. (1999). Estimating Rates of Nutrient Depletion in Soils Agricultural Lands of Africa. IFDC.

Huhtala, A., Bird, N., & Herweijer, C. (2013). Climate Finance: challenges and responses - Climat & Development Knowledge Network - 6 p.

Meadows, M., & Hoffman, T. (2003). Land Degradation and Climate Change in South Africa. The Geographical Journal, 168-177.

Navin, R., Evan, A., Monfreda, C., & Foley, J. (2008). Farming the Planet: 1. Geographic Distribution of Global Agricultural Lands in the Year 2000, Global Biogeochemical Cycles.

Oldeman, L., Hakkeling, R., & Sombroek, W. (1991). World Map of the Status of Human-Induced Soil Degradation: An Explanatory Note (Global Assessment of Soil Degradation (GLASOD)), Wageningen and Nairobi: International Soil Reference and Information Centre and United Nations Environment Programme.

Ondo, A. (2011). Vulnérabilité des sols maraîchers du Gabon (région de Libreville) : acidification et mobilité des éléments métalliques- Université de Provence Laboratoire Chimie Provence - UMR/CNRS 6264, Doctoral Thesis. 324 p.

Orr, B., Cowie, A., Castillo Sanchez, V., Chasek, P., Crossman, N., Erlewein, A., . . . Welton, S. (2017). Scientific Conceptual Framework for Land Degradation Neutrality. A Report of the Science-Policy Interface.Bonn, Allemagne: Convention des Nations Unies sur la lutte contre la désertification (CNULCD). Panagos, P., Borrelli, P., & Ballabio, C. (2017). Global rainfall erosivity assessment based on high-temporal resolution rainfall records - Scientific Reportsvolume 7, Article number: 4175 (2017) doi:10.1038/s41598-017-04282-8.

Roy, A. (2006). Africa Fertilizer Crisis: Summit Background and Process. Presented at the Technical Session: High-level dialogue, Africa Fertilizer summit, Abuja, Nigeria, June 9th.

Sinsin, T. E. (2018). La désertification au Maroc: Méthode d'analyse de la vulnérabilité à la désertification des écosystèmes à l'aide d'indicateurs de pression. Rabat: Edition universitaire européenne.

Southgate, D., Sanders, J., & Ehui, S. (1990). Resource Degradation in Africa and Latin America: Population Pressure, Policies, and Property Arrangements. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 1259-1263. Ståhl, M. (1993). Land Degradation in East Africa. Ambio, 505-508.

UNCCD. (2015). Report of the Conference of the Parties on its twelfth session, held in Ankara from 12 to 23 October 2015. Part two: Actions taken by the Conference of the Parties at its twelfth session.

UNCCD. (2016). The great green wall. Hope for the Sahara and the Sahel.

Ward J., F. S. (2012). Getting Africa ready for the Green Climate Fund - The African Development Bank Group - 58 p.

About the Authors

Onasis Tharcisse A. Guèdègbé

Onasis Tharcisse A. Guèdègbé is an agro-economist and a researcher at OCP Policy Center. His research focuses on agricultural productivity and growth and regional integration, with special emphasis on the food economy. He has contributed to several studies on the development of trade relations in the process of integration. His research and his articles have also addressed the issue of natural capital and its sustainable use, international food trade and food security. Tharcisse holds an engineering degree from the Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan II (IAV), Rabat, Morocco.

Tudal Sinsin

"Sinsin Tudal is a Forestry and Water Engineer. He specializes in ecology and natural resource management. In this capacity, he has carried out numerous studies in various projects, particularly in the fields of land use planning, environmental impact studies, desertification and climate change. From 2017, he has been involved in the development and delivery of practical "Remote Sensing"

courses at the Ecole Nationale Forestière d'Ingénieurs (ENFI) (roughly translated as the National Forestry School of Engineers) in Salé, Morocco. He also works as a junior consultant for engineering and design firms. His studies focus on remote sensing and GIS applied to ecology and natural resource management. Sinsin Tudal is currently doing his doctorate at the Faculty of Science of Mohammed V University in Rabat. His doctorate focuses on desertification, its current and future causes and impacts in the context of climate change.

Mohammed Rachid Doukkali

Mohammed Rachid Doukkali is a Professor of applied economics in the Department of Humanities at IAV Hassan II. He teaches the economics of production, mathematical programming, macroeconomics and sectoral policy modelling since 1981. He also serves as a Senior Fellow at the OCP Policy Center. He is the president of the Moroccan Agro-economy Association (AMAEco, Association marocaine d'agro-économie), and an associate member of Morocco's General Council for Agricultural Development. Professor Doukkali has served as a consultant for Moroccan Ministry of Agriculture and for international organizations (World Bank, FAO, UNDP).

About OCP Policy Center

The OCP Policy Center is a Moroccan policy-oriented think tank based in Rabat, Morocco, striving to promote knowledge sharing and to contribute to an enriched reflection on key economic and international relations issues. By offering a southern perspective on major regional and global strategic challenges facing developing and emerging countries, the OCP Policy Center aims to provide a meaningful policy-making contribution through its four research programs: Agriculture, Environment and Food Security, Economic and Social Development, Commodity Economics and Finance, Geopolitics and International Relations.

The views expressed in this publication are the views of the author.



OCP Policy Center

Ryad Business Center – South, 4th Floor – Mahaj Erryad - Rabat, Morocco Email : contact@ocppc.ma / Phone : +212 5 37 27 08 08 / Fax : +212 5 37 71 31 54 Website: www.ocppc.ma